## Homework Five

If a problem is given an asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$, you do not have to turn it in. If, however, you do decide to turn it in, we will grade it and you may receive extra credit.

## 1. Real projective space

(a) Let $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ denote the set of all lines in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ through the origin. For every $i=1, \ldots, n+1$, let $U_{i}$ denote the set of all lines which intersect the plane $x_{i}=1$.

Given $L \in U_{i}$, let $\phi_{i}(L) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \cong\left\{x_{i}=1\right\}$ be the point at which $L$ intersects the plane $x_{i}=1$. That is, $\phi_{i}(L)$ is the point $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ obtained by forgetting the $x_{i}$ coordinate of the intersection point with $\left\{x_{i}=1\right\}$. We topologize $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ by declaring that these $\phi_{i}$ are homeomorphisms, and that each $U_{i}$ is open. Show that the $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)\right\}$ define a smooth atlas for $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$.
(b) Exhibit a map $S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{n}$ whose fibers have cardinality two. You do not need to show the following, but it may be useful to know: This is a covering map.
(c) $\left(^{*}\right)$ Given a line $L$, let $x$ be a non-zero vector on $L$. For every $i, j=$ $1, \ldots, n+1$, we have a function

$$
f_{i j}: L \mapsto \frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_{k}^{2}}
$$

which is clearly well-defined. The collection of these defines a map $\mathbb{R} P^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^{2}}$. Show this defines a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ to the set of all $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrices which are symmetric, idempotent and trace 1.
(d) $\left(^{*}\right)$ Show that $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ is compact.
(e) $\left(^{*}\right)$ Show that $\mathbb{R} P^{1}$ is diffeomorphic to a circle.

## 2. The tautological bundle

Let $\tau \subset \mathbb{R} P^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the set of all pairs $(L, x)$ where $L \in \mathbb{R} P^{n}$ and $x \in L$.
(a) Show that the $U_{i}$ from above define trivializing neighborhoods for $\tau$. (You can just show it for one $U_{i}$ by symmetry.) Compute the transition function for one pair of unequal trivializing neighborhoods.
(b) Is $\tau$ a trivial line bundle on $\mathbb{R} P^{1}$ ?
(c) On $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ ?
(d) On $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ ?

## 3. (*) Invertible bundles

We will say that a vector bundle $E$ is invertible if there is some other bundle $F$ such that $E \otimes F \cong \mathbb{R}$. Prove or disprove: Every smooth line bundle is invertible.

What does this say about the structure of the set of of isomorphism classes of line bundles?

## 4. Categories

(a) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. Define another category $\mathcal{C}^{\text {op }}$, called the opposite category of $\mathcal{C}$, as follows:
(1) $\mathcal{C}^{\text {op }}$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$.
(2) $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text {op }}}(X, Y):=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$. That is, a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ from $X$ to $Y$ is treated as a morphism from $Y$ to $X$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$.
(3) Composition is induced by the composition in $\mathcal{C}$.

Show that $\mathcal{C}^{\text {op }}$ is indeed a category. ${ }^{5}$
(b) Fix a vector space $E$. Let Vect be the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces, whose morphisms are linear maps. Verify that the assignment $V \mapsto \operatorname{hom}(V, E)$ defines a functor Vect ${ }^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow$ Vect. (You should specify what this assignment does to morphisms.)
(c) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. A morphism $f \in \operatorname{hom}(X, Y)$ is called an isomorphism if there is a morphism $g \in \operatorname{hom}(Y, X)$ such that $f \circ g=\operatorname{id}_{Y}$ and $g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{X}$. Show that if $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor, then any isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$ is sent to an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}$.
(d) $\left(^{*}\right)$ In class, we said that part of the data of a category is a choice of identity morphism for every $X$. Show that this data is actually redundant-that is, show that merely asserting the existence of some $\operatorname{id}_{X} \in \operatorname{hom}(X, X)$ such that $f \circ \operatorname{id}_{X}=f, i d_{X} \circ g=g$ (for every

[^0]morphism $f \in \operatorname{hom}(X, Y)$ and every morphism $g \in \operatorname{hom}(Y, X))$ is enough to specify $\mathrm{id}_{X}$. (That is, show that $\mathrm{id}_{X}$ is unique if it exists.) ${ }^{6}$
(e) $\left(^{*}\right)$ Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of sets. Let $W$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$. Show that the assignment on objects $X \mapsto \operatorname{hom}(X, W)$ defines a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text {op }} \rightarrow$ Sets. ${ }^{7}$
(f) $\left(^{*}\right)$ If $\mathcal{C}$ is enriched over Top, show that the above functor hom $(-, W)$ defines a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow$ Top.
(g) $\left(^{*}\right)$ Show that the composition of functors is again a functor, and that composition of functors is associative. Convince yourself that any category has an identity functor. In this way, one has a category of categories.

## 5. (*) The empty manifold's invariants

(a) What are the deRham cohomology groups of the empty manifold?
(b) For every manifold $M$, its deRham cohomology groups $H_{\text {deR }}^{*}(M)$ form a (graded) unital ring. What ring is $H_{\text {deR }}^{*}(\emptyset)$ ?
(c) For every smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$, one obtains a map of rings $f^{*}$ : $H_{\mathrm{deR}}^{*}(N) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{deR}}^{*}(M)$. Note there is a unique smooth map when $M=$ $\emptyset$. What is the induced map of deRham cohomology rings?
(d) For every manifold $M, T M$ is a smooth manifold. What manifold is the tangent bundle of the empty manifold?

## 6. (*) Some categories and functors in differential topology

- Let Mfld be the category whose objects are smooth manifolds (not necessarily compact, not necessarily connected, not even necessarily of a single dimension). Morphisms are smooth maps. This can be made into a Top-enriched category in various ways, but we choose not to make any such enrichment.
- Recall that a graded algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ is a a pair $\left(\left(A^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, m\right)$ where $\left(A^{k}\right)$ is a collection of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces $A^{k}$, and $m$ is a linear map $m:\left(\oplus_{k} A^{k}\right) \otimes\left(\oplus_{k} A^{k}\right) \rightarrow \oplus_{k} A^{k}$ such that $m$ maps $A^{k} \otimes A^{l}$ to $A^{k+l}$.

[^1]As part of the definition of graded algebra, $m$ must be associative. A graded algebra is unital if it admits a unit $1 \in A^{0} .{ }^{8}$

An example is the deRham cohomology algebra of any smooth manifold.

Another example is the deRham algebra of smooth differential forms on a manifold. (I.e., what we have before taking cohomology.) This has more structure than just that of a graded algebra; we'll come back to it in another homework.

Another example is the polynomial ring in one generator, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, where $A^{k}$ is the vector space of homogeneous degree $k$ polynomials. A variant is $\mathbb{R}[y]$, where $A^{2 k}$ is the vector space of homogeneous degree $k$ polynomials, and $A^{\text {odd }}$ is zero. (So $y$ is in "degree" 2.) Depending on your taste, $\mathbb{R}[y]$ is isomorphic to the deRham cohomology ring of $\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$. If your taste is different, then the power series ring $\mathbb{R}[[y]]$ is rather isomorphic to the deRham cohomology ring of $\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}$.

A map between graded algebras is a linear map $f: A^{k} \rightarrow B^{k}$ for every $k$ such that $f\left(m_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right)=m_{B}\left(f a, f a^{\prime}\right)$. A map between unital graded algebras further sends the unit to the unit.

We let $\mathrm{GrAlg}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the category of unital graded algebras over $\mathbb{R}$, where morphisms are maps of graded unital algebras.
(a) Show that deRham cohomology defines a functor $H^{*}: \mathrm{Mfld}{ }^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GrAlg}_{\mathbb{R}}$. You may use facts you learned in your previous differential topology course.
(b) Explain what the above functor does to the empty manifold.
(c) Show that the "tangent bundle" defines a functor $T:$ Mfld $\rightarrow$ Mfld. (On objects, it assigns a manifold $M$ to its tangent bundle $T M$. You must specify what the functor does to morphisms.)
(d) Explain what the above functor does to the empty manifold.
(e) Citing a previous homework, explain why the "cotangent bundle" doesn't define a functor from $\mathrm{Mfld}^{\mathrm{op}}$ to Mfld.
(f) Heuristically, an invariant for smooth manifolds should be an assignment of some mathematical object $I(M)$ to every smooth manifold $M$. We should also demand that if $M$ is diffeomorphic to $M^{\prime}$, then $I(M)$ is somehow "equivalent" to $I\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Explain why any functor out of Mfld defines an invariant for smooth manifolds.

We'll be seeing more functors out of Mfld in the homeworks to come.

[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{5}$ If you are lost, you should always think about a category with a single object. A category with a single object is the same thing as a monoid with unit. Any monoid has an "opposite" monoid, by defining right multiplication as left multiplication. An accident for a group is that the opposite group is always isomorphic to the group itself. This does not happen for monoids, and in particular, a category is almost never equivalent to its opposite!

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ The proof philosophically identical to the proof that the identity element is unique in any group.
    ${ }^{7}$ This functor is called the functor represented by $W$. Let $\mathcal{C}=$ Mfld. By the philosophy espoused at the end of the last problem, $\operatorname{hom}(-, W)$ hence defines an invariant of smooth manifolds: If $X$ does not map into $W$ the same that way $X^{\prime}$ maps into $W$, then $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are not diffeomorphic. However, as it turns out, we can think of the functor hom $(-, W)$ as an invariant of $W$. This functor is in fact a complete invariant of $W$ - the functors $\operatorname{hom}(-, W)$ and $\operatorname{hom}\left(-, W^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent if and only if $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{C}$. This is a consequence of something called the Yoneda Lemma.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Some people define the graded algebra to itself be $\oplus_{k} A^{k}$. There is an issue with with this definition. For example, the ring of power series in a single variable, $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$, should be an example of a graded algebra. But $\mathbb{R}[[x]] \not \not \oplus_{k} \mathbb{R}$. Rather, $\mathbb{R}[[x]] \cong \prod_{k} \mathbb{R}$.

