

Fix alg. closed field F .

Last time If $A: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation and V is finite-dimensional, \exists basis for V s.t.

A is represented by the matrix (block-diagonal)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A_i = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_i & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}}_{d_i}$$

α_i along diagonal
1 above each α_i

We saw this by recognizing that the $F[t]$ -module

$$\frac{F[t]}{(t-\alpha_i)^{d_i}}$$

has basis

$$\overline{(t-\alpha_i)^{d_i-1}}, \dots, \overline{(t-\alpha_i)}, \overline{1}$$

and "multiplication by t " is represented by A_i above.

We also defined

Defn The characteristic polynomial of a matrix $A \in M_{k \times k}(F)$ is the polynomial

$$\det(tI - A) \in F[t].$$

and I claimed

Thm (Cayley-Hamilton) Any matrix A satisfies its characteristic polynomial.

Rmk The theorem holds even when F is NOT algebraically closed!

Ex If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, its charac. polyn. is $t^2 - t(a+d) + (ad-bc)$.

The theorem states that

$$A^2 - A(a+d) + I(ad-bc) = 0 \in M_{2 \times 2}(F).$$

Ex Alternatively, if $A \in M_{k \times k}(F)$, then its charac. polyn. is of the form

$$t^k + b_{k-1}t^{k-1} + \dots + b_1t + b_0.$$

The theorem says that $\forall \vec{v} \in F^k$, we have

$$A^k \vec{v} + b_{k-1} A^{k-1} \vec{v} + \dots + b_1 A \vec{v} + b_0 \vec{v} = \vec{0}.$$

Prop Let $A \in M_{K \times K}(F)$. A is invertible iff the columns of A form a basis.

Pf: Let T_A be the linear transformation $F^k \rightarrow F^k$ given by A .
Need to show that T_A is invertible — i.e., that

T_A is an injection and a surjection. Well,

$$T_A(\vec{e}_i) = \vec{v}_i \quad \text{if } \vec{v}_i \text{ are columns of } A.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \vec{v}_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{v}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } T_A(\sum b_i \vec{e}_i) = \vec{0} \Leftrightarrow \sum b_i \vec{v}_i = \vec{0} \Leftrightarrow b_i = 0.$$

Since $\ker T_A = \{0\}$, and T_A is a linear map between F^k and F^k (which have same dim) T_A is invertible.

Prop Let A' be a matrix for TA in some basis v_1, \dots, v_k . Let $B = (\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_k)$. Then

$$A' = BAB^{-1}.$$

pf

$$\cancel{A'(\vec{v}_i)} \quad BAB^{-1}(\vec{v}_i)$$

$$= BA\vec{e}_i$$

$$= B(A_{ji}\vec{e}_j)$$

$$= \sum A_{ji} \vec{v}_j.$$

$$= A'(\vec{v}_i). \quad //$$

Prop If B is invertible and $A' = BAB^{-1}$,
then

$$\det(tI - A') = \det(tI - A) \in F[t].$$

Pf In general, ~~since~~

$$\begin{aligned}\det(BCB^{-1}) &= \det B \det C \det B^{-1} \\ &= \det B \det B^{-1} \det C \\ &= \det BB^{-1} \det C \\ &= \det I \det C \\ &= \det C\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\det(B(tI - A)B^{-1}) = \det(tI - A)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\det(B(tI - A)B^{-1}) &= \det(BtIB^{-1} - BAB^{-1}) \\ &= \det(tI - BAB^{-1}). //\end{aligned}$$

So to compute charac polyn of arbitrary $A \in M_{k \times k}(F)$? Well,
 If F is alg. closed, we know

$$A = B A' B^{-1}$$

where

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & A_e \end{pmatrix}$$

B block diagonal, with

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & \alpha_i & 1 & & \\ & & \alpha_i & \dots & \\ & & & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_i \in F. \quad (\alpha_i \text{ along diagonal, } 1 \text{ right above diagonal})$$

So we need only see

$$\det(tI - A') = \det \begin{pmatrix} t - \alpha_1 & -1 & & & 0 \\ 0 & t - \alpha_1 & & & \\ & & \dots & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & & t - \alpha_e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (t - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdot (t - \alpha_2)^{n_2} \cdot \dots \cdot (t - \alpha_e)^{n_e}$$

(since $tI - A'$ is upper-triangular, its det is \prod of its diagonal entries.)

To show that

$$(A - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (A - \alpha_\ell)^{n_\ell} = 0$$

we need only show that $\forall \vec{v}$, we have

$$(A - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (A - \alpha_\ell)^{n_\ell} \vec{v} = 0.$$

Well, choose a basis

$$\vec{v}_{1,n_1}^* = \vec{1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{1,1} = \overline{(t - \alpha_1)^{n_1 - 1}} \in \frac{F[t]}{(t - \alpha_1)^{n_1}}$$

⋮

$$\vec{v}_{\ell,n_\ell} = \vec{1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{\ell,1} = \overline{(t - \alpha_\ell)^{n_\ell - 1}} \in \frac{F[t]}{(t - \alpha_\ell)^{n_\ell}}.$$

Then $\{\vec{v}_{i,n_j}\}$ form a basis for the vector space on which A acts

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & (A - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (A - \alpha_j)^{n_j} \cdots (A - \alpha_\ell)^{n_\ell} \vec{v}_{i,n_j} \\ &= (A - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (A - \alpha_\ell)^{n_\ell} (A - \alpha_j)^{n_j} \vec{v}_{i,n_j} \\ &= (A - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (A - \alpha_\ell)^{n_\ell} \vec{0} \\ &= \vec{0}. \end{aligned}$$

We know (by choice of basis),

$$A \vec{v}_{i,n_j} = \vec{v}_{i,n_{j-1}} + \alpha_j \vec{v}_{i,n_j}$$

or,

$$A \vec{v}_{i,1} = \alpha_i \vec{v}_{i,1}$$

$$\text{So } (A - \alpha_j) \vec{v}_{i,n_j} = \begin{cases} \vec{v}_{i,n_{j-1}} & j > 1 \\ 0 & j = 1 \end{cases}$$

So we've shown that if F is alg. closed, any $A \in M_{k \times k}(F)$ satisfies $\det(tI - A)$. But if F isn't? Well, let \bar{F} be an alg. closed field into which F injects. Then we have injections

$$M_{k \times k}(F) \hookrightarrow M_{k \times k}(\bar{F})$$

and

$$F[t] \hookrightarrow \bar{F}[t].$$

Think of any matrix w/ entries in F as one w/ entries in \bar{F} .

Likewise — think of any polynomial w/ F coefficients as having \bar{F} coefficients.

Putting it all together,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \longmapsto & (A, \det(tI - A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{evaluate}} & ? \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M_{k \times k}(F) & \longrightarrow & M_{k \times k}(F) \times F[t] & \longrightarrow & M_{k \times k}(F) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M_{k \times k}(\bar{F}) & \longrightarrow & M_{k \times k}(\bar{F}) \times \bar{F}[t] & \xrightarrow{\text{evaluate}} & M_{k \times k}(\bar{F}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A & \longmapsto & (A, \det(tI - A)) & \longmapsto & 0
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. So $? \mapsto 0$ implies $?$ must have been zero. Most succinctly: Plugging in a matrix into its characteristic polynomial yields the same result whether we think of the matrix as having F or \bar{F} entries.

))