

Fri, Oct 10, 2014

Last time I claimed:

Propn Any homomorphism

$$\phi: R \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$$

defines a group H and a split SES

$$L \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{j} R.$$

Automorphism of L as a group.

I.e.,

$$\text{Aut}(L) = \{ \text{group isomorphisms } L \rightarrow L \}.$$

This is in contrast to $\text{Aut}_{\text{set}}(L)$.

Well, let $H = L \times R$ as a set.

Define

$$H \times H \rightarrow H$$

as follows:

$$(l_1, r_1) \cdot (l_2, r_2) = (l_1 \cdot \phi_{r_1}(l_2), r_1 r_2)$$

almost the group operation of $L \times R$, but before we multiply by l_1 , we "twist" l_2 to another element of L — namely, $\phi_{r_1}(l_2)$.

The value of r_1 under the homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$.

Propn This is a group.

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text{Pr.}} \quad (l_1, r_1) \cdot ((l_2, r_2) \cdot (l_3, r_3)) \\ &= (l_1, r_1) \cdot (l_2 \cdot \phi_{r_2}(l_3), r_2 r_3) \quad \text{by defn of operation} \\ &= (l_1 \cdot \phi_{r_1}(l_2 \cdot \phi_{r_2}(l_3)), r_1 (r_2 r_3)) \quad \text{by defn of operation} \\ &= (l_1 \cdot \phi_{r_1}(l_2) \cdot \phi_{r_1}(\phi_{r_2}(l_3)), (r_1 r_2) r_3) \quad \text{since } \phi_{r_1} \text{ is a homom.} \\ &= (l_1 \cdot \phi_{r_1}(l_2) \cdot \phi_{r_1 r_2}(l_3), (r_1 r_2) r_3) \quad \text{since } \phi: R \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L) \text{ is a homom.} \\ &= (l_1 \phi_{r_1}(l_2), r_1 r_2) \cdot (l_3, r_3) \quad \text{by defn of operation} \\ &= ((l_1, r_1) \cdot (l_2, r_2)) \cdot (l_3, r_3). \quad \text{"} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow associativity.

identity:

since ϕ is a homom, $\phi_1 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}(1_L, 1_R) \cdot (l, r) &= (1_L \cdot \phi_{1_R}(l), 1_R \cdot r) \\ &= (1_L \cdot l, 1_R \cdot r) \\ &= (l, r).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(l, r) \cdot (1_L, 1_R) &= (l \cdot \phi_r(1_L), r \cdot 1_R) \\ &= (l \cdot 1_L, r \cdot 1_R) \quad \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \text{since } \phi_r: L \rightarrow L \text{ is a homom,} \\ \phi_r(1) = 1. \end{array} \\ &= (l, r).\end{aligned}$$

inverses:

I claim $(l, r)^{-1} = (\phi_{r^{-1}}(l^{-1}), r^{-1})$

Pf: $(l, r) \cdot (\phi_{r^{-1}}(l^{-1}), r^{-1}) = (l \cdot \phi_r(\phi_{r^{-1}}(l^{-1})), rr^{-1})$ Defn of operation

$$\begin{aligned}&= (l \cdot \phi_{rr^{-1}}(l^{-1}), rr^{-1}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \phi: R \rightarrow \text{Aut } L \\ \text{is a homom, so } \phi_r \circ \phi_{r^{-1}} = \phi_{rr^{-1}}. \end{array} \\ &= (l \cdot l^{-1}, rr^{-1}) \quad \phi \text{ is a gp homom, so } \phi_1 = \text{id}_L. \\ &= (1_L, 1_R).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_{r^{-1}}(l^{-1}), r^{-1}) \cdot (l, r) &= (\phi_{r^{-1}}(l^{-1}) \cdot \phi_{r^{-1}}(l), r^{-1}r) \quad \text{defn of operation} \\ &= (\phi_{r^{-1}}(l^{-1}l), r^{-1}r) \quad \begin{array}{l} \phi_{r^{-1}}: L \rightarrow L \text{ is a gp} \\ \text{homom} \end{array} \\ &= (\phi_{r^{-1}}(1_L), 1_R) \\ &= (1_L, 1_R).\end{aligned}$$

$\phi_{r^{-1}}$ is a gp homom,

so $\phi_{r^{-1}}(1) = 1$.

//

Defn We denote
this group by

$$L \rtimes_{\phi} R.$$

When ϕ is implicit,
we write

$$L \rtimes R,$$

and say $L \rtimes R$ is

a semi-direct product

of L and R .

Since
different ϕ
may yield
different
groups

though "and" is
a conjunction that's
usually apathetic of
order, L and R
play vastly different roles!

Rmk Why \rtimes ? Usually,

people write $N \triangleleft G$

when N is a normal subgroup

of G . \rtimes is the bastard

child of \triangleleft (normal) and

\times (product).

Be warned: $L \rtimes R$ does NOT mean $L \triangleleft R$.

Rather, it means $L \triangleleft L \rtimes R$.

Last time I told you
that any

$$L \longrightarrow H \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j} \\ \longrightarrow R \end{array}$$

gave rise to a map

$$R \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(L).$$

How? By conjugation. Since

$L \subset H$ is normal,

$$C_h: L \longrightarrow L \\ l \longmapsto h l h^{-1}$$

is a group automorphism of L .

By essentially the same arguments
as in your homework, we have a
homom.

$$H \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(L) \\ h \longmapsto C_h.$$

The composition

$$R \xrightarrow{j} H \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$$

is the homomorphism ϕ .

So how does R act on $L \subset L \rtimes R$?

Prop'n

$$(1_L, r) \cdot (l, 1_R) \cdot (1_L, r^{-1}) \\ = (\phi_r(l), 1_R).$$

In other words, in $L \rtimes R$,
conjugation by r recovers ϕ_r .

PF

$$(1_L, r) \cdot (l, 1_R) \cdot (1_L, r^{-1}) \\ = (1_L \cdot \phi_r(l), r \cdot 1_R) \cdot (1_L, r^{-1}) \\ = (\phi_r(l) \cdot \phi_r(1_L), r \cdot r^{-1}) \\ = (\phi_r(l \cdot 1_L), 1_R) \\ = (\phi_r(l), 1_R) //$$

We now have enough ingredients
to prove the theorem from
last time.

The spine of thought is:

- If $L < H$ is normal,
 $H \curvearrowright L$ by conjugation.
- Given a splitting
 $L < H \xrightarrow{j} R$,
so does R .
 $\rightsquigarrow R \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Aut}(L)$
- $L \rtimes R$ is a group where
 R 's conjugation action on L
agrees w/ ϕ .

Now let's prove
 $H \cong L \rtimes R!$

To see why $L \rtimes R \cong H$,
 we need a lemma. I
 asserted it without proof before.

Lemma ^① $\forall h \in H, \exists!$

$l \in L, r \in R$ s.t.

$$h = l \cdot j(r).$$

Lemma ^② Consider the homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h & H & \xrightarrow{\psi} R \\ \downarrow \text{I} & \downarrow \text{I} & \\ Lh & H/L & \end{array}$$

homom. in the
SES
 $L \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\psi} R$

Then the function $H/L \xrightarrow{z} R$
 $[h] \mapsto \psi(h)$

is a gp isom s.t. $\psi = z \circ q$.

PF (of lemma ②):

This is well-defined because if

$$[h] = [h'],$$

then $h = l'h'$ for some $l' \in L$,

so

$$\psi(h) = \psi(l'h') = \psi(l') \cdot \psi(h') = 1_L \psi(h') = \psi(h')$$

Now $\psi = z \circ q$ is
obvious:

$$z \circ q(h) = z([h]) = \psi(h).$$

It's injective because

$$\begin{aligned} z([h]) = 1_R &\Rightarrow \psi(h) = 1_R \\ &\Rightarrow h \in \text{Ker}(\psi) = L \\ &\Rightarrow [h] = L = 1_{H/L} \end{aligned}$$

It's surjective b/c $\psi: H \rightarrow R$ is —

$\forall r, r = \psi(h)$ for some $h \in H$, so
 $r = z([h])$. //

Pf (of lemma ①):

We know that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \nearrow z \\ H/L & & \end{array}$$

is commutative. (I.e., $z \circ \varphi = \psi$.)

Given a splitting $H \xleftarrow{j} R$,

we see that

$$z \circ \varphi \circ j = \psi \circ j = \text{id}_R$$

└─ defn of splitting.

Since z is an isomorphism,

it has an inverse z^{-1} :

$$\varphi \circ j = z^{-1}.$$

z^{-1} is also a map \cong (by your homework, for instance).

That z^{-1} is a bijection means $\forall h \in H$,

$$\exists! r \text{ s.t. } \varphi \circ j(r) = [h] \in H/L$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists! r \in R \text{ s.t. } j(r) \in Lh = [h].$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists! r \in R \text{ s.t. } [j(r)] = [h]$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists! r \in R \text{ s.t. } h = l \cdot j(r) \text{ for some } l \in L.$$

Of course, given h and $j(r)$,

l is uniquely determined:

$$l = h \cdot j(r)^{-1}.$$

So indeed, $\forall h \in H, \exists! l, r \text{ s.t. } h = l \cdot j(r)$ //

Now we can prove:

Thm Let $L \rightarrow H \xleftarrow{j} R$ be a split SES, and $\phi: R \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ the induced action. Then $H \cong L \rtimes_{\phi} R$.

ϕ_r is the homom
 $L \rightarrow L$
 $l \mapsto j(r) l j(r)^{-1}$

Pf: Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} L \rtimes_{\phi} R &\xrightarrow{\alpha} H \\ (l, r) &\mapsto l \cdot j(r) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(l_1 \phi_{r_1}(l_2), r_1 r_2) \mapsto l_1 \phi_{r_1}(l_2) j(r_1) j(r_2)$$

But by definition,

$$\phi_{r_1}(l_2) = j(r_1) l_2 j(r_1)^{-1}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((l_1, r_1)(l_2, r_2)) &= \alpha((l_1 \phi_{r_1}(l_2), r_1 r_2)) \\ &= l_1 \phi_{r_1}(l_2) j(r_1) j(r_2) \\ &= l_1 j(r_1) l_2 j(r_1)^{-1} j(r_1) j(r_2) \\ &= l_1 j(r_1) l_2 j(r_2) \\ &= \alpha((l_1, r_1)) \alpha((l_2, r_2)). \end{aligned}$$

So α is a homomorphism.

By lemma ①, $\forall h \in H$,

$\exists!$ $l \in L, r \in R$ s.t.

$$h = l \cdot j(r)$$

Hence α is a bijection. //

This is enough to show that split SESs are the same amount of data as semidirect products:

- Given $L \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\psi} R$, we get $\Phi: R \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ by conjugation
- By theorem, $H \xleftarrow{\alpha} L \rtimes R$ is an \cong .
- So we have a surjection $L \rtimes R \xrightarrow{\alpha} H \xrightarrow{\psi} R$.
- Since α is an \cong , $\text{Ker}(\psi \circ \alpha) = \alpha^{-1}(\text{Ker } \psi)$.
 $= \alpha^{-1}(L)$.
 $= \{(l, r)\} \subset L \rtimes R$
 by Lemma ①.

So we have a SES

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \longrightarrow & L \rtimes R & \xrightarrow{\psi \circ \alpha} & R \\ l & \longmapsto & (l, r) & & \end{array}$$

with splitting $\begin{array}{ccc} L \rtimes R & \longleftarrow & R \\ (l, r) & \longleftarrow & r \end{array}$

The situation can be summarized by saying that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \longrightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \text{id}_L \updownarrow & & \alpha \updownarrow \alpha^{-1} & & \updownarrow \text{id} \\ L & \longrightarrow & L \rtimes R & \xrightarrow{\psi \circ \alpha} & R \\ l \longmapsto (l, r) & & (l, r) \longleftarrow r & & \end{array}$$

(ie, any sub-square you can draw is a commutative square.)

Ex Recall that

$$SO_n(\mathbb{R}) \subset O_n(\mathbb{R})$$

is a subgroup of index 2.

It's also normal.

By defn, $SO_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Kernel}(O_n(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbb{R}^*)$, and any kernel is a normal subgroup.
or, by homework, any subgp of index two is normal.

So we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow SO_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow O_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \begin{matrix} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ \cong \\ \{ \pm 1 \} \end{matrix} \rightarrow 1$$

\uparrow
 \mathbb{R}^*

This sequence admits many different splittings!

For concreteness, take $n=2$.

$$SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow O_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

\uparrow
 j

For instance,

$$O_2(\mathbb{R}) \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \quad ; j$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow 1 \quad [0]$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow 1 \quad [1]$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow 1 \quad [1]$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow 1 \quad [1].$$